



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,347	03/10/2004	Richard Mollo	MOLLO 3.0-001	4298

530 7590 01/29/2007  
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,  
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK  
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST  
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

EXAMINER
----------

LINDSEY, RODNEY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3765

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
30 DAYS	01/29/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

C

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---------------------------------------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20070118

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on November 27, 2006 is not fully responsive because it fails to include a complete or accurate record of the substance of the September 11th and 13th interviews. The substance of each of the aforementioned interviews need to be summarized. Applicant's remarks fail to make clear that which was discussed at the interviews. Since the above mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Rodney M. Lindsey  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3765

REMARKS

This amendment is filed in response to the Official Action mailed July 20, 2006, the shortened statutory period for filing a response having expired on October 20, 2006. Applicant submits herewith a one-month extension petition to reset the deadline for responding to the Official Action to and including November 20, 2006. In view of the following remarks, reconsideration of the Examiner's rejection and Notice of Allowance of all pending claims is respectfully requested.

Prior to this amendment, claims 2-12 and 14-52 were pending in this application. In paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Official Action, the Examiner indicated that Applicant's response to the Restriction Requirement was insufficient to traverse the restriction and claims 37-52 were being withdrawn from further consideration. By way of this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 37-52 in order to comply with the Examiner's finding. As such, claims 2-12 and 14-36 remain pending in the present application.

Before addressing the merits of the Official Action, Applicant wishes to extend his appreciation for the courtesies exhibited by Examiner Lindsey during his interviews with the undersigned of September 11 and 14, 2006. Applicant presents the enclosed remarks with close consideration to the thoughts and comments of Examiner Lindsey, and believes that the present claims are now each in a condition for allowance.

In paragraph 3 of the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) for failing to depict each feature of the claimed invention. Specifically, the Examiner indicated that the intersection areas or attachment areas set forth in claims 2, 14-16, 21, 27, and 32 must be shown or the features cancelled from the claims.

The intersection area and attachment area are used interchangeably, and are described, among other locations, at

Interview  
no good  
RZ  
1/18/2007